

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/445,919	09/445,919 03/16/2000		JOHAN STJERNSCHANTZ	10806-106	1522
24256	7590	10/31/2005		EXAMINER	
DINSMOR		•	FAY, ZOHREH A		
1900 CHEM 255 EAST F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI, OH 45202				1618	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s) YAMAOKA ET AL.	
		09 10/445,919		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Zohreh A. Fay	1618	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>4,5,7-11 and 18-23</u> is/are pending in the description of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>4, 7-11 and 18-23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	•		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Serion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) [] a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
2)	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/445,919

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 4, 5, 7-11 and 18-23 are presented for examination.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of January 24, 2005.

Claims 7-11 and 18-23 are rejected under 102 (b) for the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of February 5, 2003 and the reasons set forth on page 2 of the office action of June 2, 2004.

Claims 7, 9, 10, 11, 22 and 23are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for the reasons set forth on pages 2-4 of the office action of January 24, 2005.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding claim 4 is not well taken. Applicant alleges criticality to the ophthalmic composition of the claimed invention and also topical administration of such composition. The allegation is not well taken. Applicant is reminded that a composition is a compound in combination with a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier. To use an ophthalmic carrier instead of any other carriers does not create a patentably distinct composition in the absence of evidence to the contrary. The carriers in pharmaceutical field are often interchangeable. The topical use of a pharmaceutical composition does not create a patentably distinct composition, considering that many pharmaceutical compositions have been used by different routes of administration. Therefore, to have a new composition based on each route of administration requires support to the advantage of such route of administration. The long-term use of anti glaucoma drugs is the inherent property such drugs and the nature of disease being treated. Applicant's arguments regarding the

reduced melanogenesis using the claimed composition have been addressed in the office action of June 4, 2004. Applicant's arguments regarding the 112 first paragraph rejection have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant fails to set forth the criteria that defines "agonist for EP1 prostanoid receptors". Additionally, applicant fails to provide information allowing the skilled artisan to ascertain these compounds without undue experimentation. In the instant case, only a limited number of agonists for prostanoid receptors examples are set forth, therefore failing to provide sufficient working examples. It is noted that the examples are neither exhaustive, nor define the class of compounds required. The pharmaceutical art is unpredictable, requiring each embodiment to be individually assessed for physiological activity. The instant claims read on all "EP1 prostanoid agonists" capable of treating glaucoma, necessitating an exhaustive search for the embodiments suitable to practice the claimed invention. Applicant fails to provide information sufficient to practice the claimed invention, absent undue experimentation.

Claim 5 at present time is considered to be allowable.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1618

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Z.F

